

Integrated pest management: control, or out of control?



Ing. Viviane Leyman ¹, Mr Geert Van Campenhout ² & Ing. Ann Van de Vyver ³.
1. Head of Glasshouses; 2. Technical Assistant; 3. Assistant Seed Bank Manager.

National Botanic Garden of Belgium, Domein van Bouchout, B-1860 Meise, Belgium.
Correspondence to: viviane.leyman@br.fgov.be

Pests can be a major problem in plant collections, especially in glasshouses. With the rich plant diversity of a botanic garden, pest management can be a challenge, even for the most experienced horticulturalists. The use of toxic chemicals in areas open to the public is not always possible. It is therefore common sense that integrated pest management should be employed whenever possible. Chemical pest controls also have the potential to damage plants and promote resistance within pests.

Integrating chemical and biological controls can be complex and time consuming, but worthwhile for the perspective of safety, long term sustainability and reducing pollutants. With proper administration, monitoring and feedback from horticultural staff chemical warfare is now a last resort.

On the other hand, beneficial insects can occasionally escape into the wild, out-competing and feeding on native fauna. This poster details the use of biological control at the National Botanic Garden of Belgium (NBGB) and highlights one example where beneficial insects have become out of control with negative effects on native species.

Case study of the Phaseoleae collection

The NBGB holds an important collection of wild Phaseoleae (Beans) recognised by Biodiversity International as a base collection for wild *Phaseolus* and *Vigna*. Integrated pest management began in the collection in 2002. The amount of pesticide administered has (with two exceptions) been reduced by over half, while in 2006 this figure was 8 times lower than the amount given in the last year of wholly chemical control (Table. 2). The two exceptions to this trend were in 2002 and 2004. In 2002, a thrip outbreak had to be controlled with chemical treatments more than it would be in recent years. In 2004, a serious outbreak of red spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae*) occurred causing major damage in glasshouse crops across Belgium and surrounding countries. This was caused by resistance against all the then used acaricides. That year more chemical applications were used than usual as we tried to manage the infestation. Eventually, the use of bifenazate was admitted for use in Belgium to which red spider mites are not currently resistant. This product gives good results and is harmless for beneficial creatures such as *Amblyseius cucumeris*, *A. swirskii* and *Phytoseiulus persimilis*. However, while it controls spider mites at present it is likely that resistance will also manifest itself in populations in the near future.

The main pests requiring control in the collection are thrips, followed then by red spider mites and mealybugs (See Table 1 from details). Many different products were used for control but by the time IPM was started many of the most toxic products used had been withdrawn from the market (Table 3). It is now our policy to use only a small number of the less toxic pesticides.

	Applications of pesticides prior to IPM						Pesticides use with IPM				
Year	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004*	2005	2006
Amount in L	1390	1272	1447	1647	2156	1722	1610	492	1275	820	210
Applications	34	44	37	34	36	41	27	16	27	15	6

Table 2. The amount of pesticide use in litres and the number of applications on a single research collection of wild Phasoliniae plants between 1996 and 2006. * = the figures from 2004 highlight a necessary chemical response to large populations of red spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae*). These could not be controlled to a satisfactory level using biological chemical control.

Various biological control agents have been used in the Phaseoleae collection (Table 4). Thrips used to be controlled by the predatory mite *Amblyseius cucumeris*, but we now use *A. swirskii*. The latter was found to be more effective and has the added benefit of also controlling whitefly (Aleyrodidae). Mealybugs are controlled with a preventive watering of the systemic pesticide imidacloprid.

The gardeners and curation staff are pleased with the reduced use of toxic chemicals in the garden. We are constantly trying to improve our pest management through observation and experimentation. So that we can keep ahead of our war on pests.

	Active ingredient	Trade name	LD ₅₀ mg/kg
✓	mevinfos	Phosdrin	4
✓	oxamyl	Vydate	6
✓	sulfotep	Bladafum	10
✓	abamectine	Vertimec	10
✓	methomyl	Lannate	17
✓	formetanat	Dicarzol	21
✓	methamidofos	Tamaron	30
✓	omethoat	Folimat	50
✓	permethrin	Ambush	50
✓	bifenthrin	Talstar	55
✓	mercaptopdimethur	Mesuro	100
✓	furathiocarb	Deltanet	137
✓	pirimicarb	Pirimor	147
✓	imidacloprid	Confidor	450
✓	tebufenpirad	Masai	595
✓	tebufenpirad	Pyranica	595
✓	dicofol	Kelthane	690
✓	amitraz	Mitac	800
✓	amitraz	Byebye	800
✓	acefat	Orthene	945
✓	bifenazate	Floramite	>2000
✓	pirimifos-methyl	Actellic	2018
✓	fenbutatin oxide	Torque	2630
✓	clofentezin	Apollo	>3200
✓	spinosad	Tracer	>3738
✓	pyriproxifen	Admiral	>5000
✓	broompropylaxat	Neoron	>5000
✓	hexythiazox	Nissorun	>5000

Table 3. The array of pesticides used in the Phaseoleae collection between 1996 and 2006. Black boxes refer to the chemical having been recently withdrawn from sale, whereas ✓ refers pesticides in use during 2005 and 2006. LD₅₀ refers to 'Lethal Dose 50' a lower number indicates higher toxicity.

	Year				
Biological control agent	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i>	9	19	5	20	
<i>Amblyseius swirskii</i>				4	13
<i>Phytoseiulus persimilis</i>				2	6

Table 4. The number of releases of beneficial insects against thrips and spider mites in the Phaseoleae collection between 2002 and 2006.

TEST SYSTEM	TEST COMPONENT	BIOLOGICAL CONTROL	BIOLOGICAL & CHEMICAL TREATMENTS
Phanerogam (C) Phanerogam (C) Phanerogam (C)	mechling turbidimetry on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i> <i>Leptostaphylos albertensis</i>	imidacloprid (C) thiacloprid (C) pyrethrin + horticultural soap (C + B)
Covered Aspidistra lucorum Saxifraga ciliolata	soft scale on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry		horticultural soap (B) + pyrethrin + pyrethrin (C) pyrethrin + horticultural soap (C) Imidacloprid (C)
Dieffenbachia dieffenbachii	armored scale diphysalid on bacterial biomass Dichlorodimethyl		pyrethrin + horticultural soap (C + B)
Eranthis eranthoides	red spider mite on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i> <i>Amblyseius evansi</i> <i>Polyseius persimilis</i> <i>Glyptotetranychus</i>	Imidacloprid (C) Imidacloprid + soap (C)
Thymus thymoides	thrombus mite on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i> <i>Amblyseius evansi</i>	Imidacloprid (C) pyrethrin + soap (C + B)
Aphelandra aphelandra	aphid on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	Aphidius sp. Aphidius aphidivorus Erythroneura halimifolia	Imidacloprid (C) pyrethrin + soap (C)
Endemum endemum	whitefly on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Encarsia formosa</i> <i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i>	pyrethrin (C) yellow sticky trap (C)
Echinops echinops Fuchsia fuchsiana	thrips on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i> <i>Amblyseius evansi</i>	yellow sticky trap (C) Imidacloprid (C)
Eg. Chrysanthemum chrysanthemum	thrips on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> var. israeli (spores)	Imidacloprid (B) thrips traps (B)
Polystichum polystichum	argiolepis on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry		red phosphorus (B) methoxyacetic (C)
Polystichum polystichum	Argiolepis on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Argiolepis</i> sp. <i>Argiolepis</i> mites <i>Argiolepis</i> sp.	imidacloprid + soap (C) pyrethrin (C) pyrethrin + soap (C)
Polystichum polystichum	Argiolepis on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry		sticky trap (C) imidacloprid (C) Spongy (C)
Polystichum polystichum	thrips on bacterial biomass Spectrophotometry	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> var. israeli	

Table 1: Current major pests on glasshouse plants at the NIBG and their biological (B), cultural (C) and chemical (Ch) control. 'Biological' refers to a range of beneficial parasites and predators such as, mites, midges, wasps, hover flies, ladybirds or nematodes and may be native or non-native to Belgium. 'Cultural' refers to sticky traps while 'Chemical' treatments are pesticides. Note that the active ingredient(s) are listed here, for product names see Table 2.

Problems in ensuring persistence of beneficial insects

It is preferable for biological control agents to be self-reproducing within the glass-houses, thus giving continuous control of pests. Yet, this idea situation is not always easy to achieve. For example, the larvae and adults of the Mealybug Destroyer Beetle (*Cryptolaemus montrouzieri*) predate mealybugs of the species *Pseudococcus longispinus* and *Planococcus citri*. The beetle lays its eggs on the cottony egg sack of mealybugs so that its larvae can feed on the eggs and young. However, only one of the mealybugs (*Planococcus citri*) produces such egg sacks as the other (*Pseudococcus longispinus*) is viviparous. For some reason, or perhaps because of predation by the beetle, the egg laying *P. citri* has become rarer in our glasshouses while *Pseudococcus longispinus* has increased.

Exotic and invasive fauna

Half of the predatory and parasitic insects and mites used in Europe for pest management are exotic (1). Occasionally, they can become a problem themselves when they escape and compete with native fauna.

The Harlequin ladybird (*Harmonia axyridis*) comes from central and eastern Asia. Since the 1960s they have been used in Europe for integrated pest management (IPM). Introduced as an aphid control for glasshouse crops, they were first recorded in the wild in Western-Europe in the 1990s. They are winter hardy and it was quickly discovered that they successfully compete with native ladybirds. Harlequin ladybirds prefer to eat aphids (Aphidoidea) and scale insects (Coccoidea), yet, if these are not available, they prey on caterpillars, butterfly eggs, lacewing larvae and even native ladybirds (2). They can potentially devastate native species and are highly invasive. In addition, they can cause problems when they hibernate en masse in houses.

The effects of the spread of *H. axyridis* in Europe are not easy to predict. Much of what we expect to happen in Europe is based on the North American experience. There the ladybird has been naturalised since the 1980s. In addition to their impacts on native ladybirds, North American populations of the Harvester butterfly (*Penicemona tarquinius*) are being threatened because the butterfly's carnivorous caterpillars feed exclusively on one species of alder aphid (*Paraprociphilus tessellatus*) also preyed upon by *H. axyridis*.

Harmonia axyridis has now been withdrawn from the market by the breeders and replaced by the European native Adalia bipunctata (two-spot ladybird). H. axyridis was used a few times at NBGB, but currently aphids are controlled using other native species such as the predatory aphid midge (Aphidoletes aphidimyza), the parasitic wasp (Aphidius sp.) and the predatory hoverfly (Episyrphus balteatus). The native predatory midge (Feltiella acarisuga) provides control of red spider mites (Tetranychus urticae).

Nowadays, the importance of managing the release of biological control agents is clear and the European Union have a program for the regulation of biological control agents called REBECA, which develops guidelines to implement risk assessment on release of biocontrol agents. Globally, the International Organization for Biological Control of Noxious Animals and Plants (IOBC) take the lead.

Although the impacts of *H. axyridis* on native wildlife in Europe is yet to be known it is likely that it will have to be added to the list of biological controls that went wrong, along with the South American Cane Toad in Australia and the Asian Mongoose in Hawaii.

References

1. Risks and regulation of biological control by Patrick De Clercq. ' SOS Invasions! 05 April 2007
http://rivendell.vub.ac.be/conferences/2006-sos_invasions/doc/SOS_Invasions_2.1.6_-_Patrick_de_Clercq.pdf
2. Intraguild predation by *Harmonia axyridis* by Louis Hautier. ' SOS Invasions! 05 April 2007.
http://rivendell.vub.ac.be/conferences/2006-sos_invasions/doc/SOS_Invasions_1.4.3_-_Louis_Hautier.pdf

Integrated pest management: control, or out of control?

<i>PEST</i> <i>scientific name</i>	<i>PEST</i> <i>common name</i>	<i>BIOLOGICAL CONTROL</i>	<i>BIOLOGICAL (B) , CULTURAL (C) or</i> <i>CHEMICAL (Ch) TREATMENTS</i>
<i>Planococcus citri</i> <i>Pseudococcus longispinus</i> <i>Planococcus affinis</i>	mealybug wolluizen cochenilles laineuses Schmierläuse	<i>Cryptolaemus montrouzieri</i> <i>Leptomastix dactylopii</i> <i>Leptomastidea abnormis</i>	imidacloprid (Ch) thiacloprid (Ch) pyrethrine + horticultural turnip oil (Ch + B)
<i>Coccus hesperidum</i> <i>Saissetia coffeae</i> <i>Saissetia oleae</i>	soft scale schildluizen cochenilles à bouclier Napfschildläuse		horticultural soap (B) + pyrethrine + piperonylbutoxide (Ch) pyrethrine +horticultural turnip oil (Ch + B) fenoxycarb (Ch)
<i>Diaspis bromeliae</i>	amoured scale dopluizen cochenilles diaspidés Deckelschildläuse		pyrethrine + horticultural turnip oil (Ch + B)
<i>Tetranychus urticae</i>	red spider mite spint araignées rouges Spinnmilben	<i>(Amblyseius cucumeris)</i> <i>Amblyseius swirskii</i> <i>Phytoseiulus persimilis</i> <i>Feltiella acarisuga</i>	bifenazate (Ch)
<i>Tharsonemidae</i>	tharsonemid mite mijten acariens Weichhautmilben	<i>(Amblyseius cucumeris)</i> <i>Amblyseius swirskii</i>	bifenazate (Ch) fenbutatin oxide (Ch) abamectine (Ch) pyrethrine + turnip oil (Ch + B)
<i>Aphididae</i>	aphids bladluizen pucerons Blattläuse	<i>Aphidius spp.</i> <i>Aphidoletes aphidimyza</i> <i>Episyrphus balteatus</i>	pirimicarb (Ch)
<i>Trialeurodes vaporariorum</i>	whitefly witte vlieg aleurodes weiss Fliege	<i>Encarsia formosa</i> <i>Amblyseius swirskii</i>	pyriproxifen (Ch) yellow sticky traps (C)
<i>Echinotrips americanus</i> <i>Frankliniella occidentalis</i>	thrip trips thrips Thripse	<i>(Amblyseius cucumeris)</i> <i>Amblyseius swirskii</i>	blue sticky trap (C) abamectine (Ch) spinosad (B)
<i>e.g. Chrysodeixis chalcites</i>	caterpillars rupsen chenilles Raupen	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis var.</i> <i>azawai</i> (spores)	spinosad (B) feromoonvallen (B)
	slug, snail slakken limaces Schnecken		ferri phosphate (B) methiocarb (Ch)
<i>Iridomyrmex humilis</i>	Argentine ant Argentijnse mieren fourmis argentines Argentinische Ameise		chlorpyrifos-ethyl (Ch) permethrine (Ch) fipronyl (Ch) siliciumdioxide (B)
<i>Periplaneta australasiae</i>	Australian cockroache kakkerlakken cafards Kakerlaken		sticky trap (C) imidacloprid (Ch) fipronyl (Ch)
<i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i>	vine weevil taxuskevers Otiorrynque Dickmaulrüssler	<i>Heterorhabditis megidis</i>	

Table 1: Current major pests on glasshouse plants at the NBGB and their biological (B), cultural (C) and chemical (Ch) control. ‘Biological’ refers to a range of beneficial parasites and predators such as, mites, midges, wasps, hover flies, ladybirds or nematodes and may be native or non-native to Belgium. ‘Cultural’ refers to sticky traps while ‘Chemical’ treatments are pesticides. Note that the active ingredient(s) are listed here, for product names see Table 2.

Integrated pest management: control, or out of control?

	Applications of pesticides prior to IPM						Pesticides use with IPM				
Year	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004*	2005	2006
Amount in L	1390	1272	1447	1647	2156	1722	1610	492	1925	820	210
Applications	34	44	37	34	36	41	27	16	27	15	6

Table 2. The amount of pesticide use in litres and the number of applications on a single research collection of wild Phaseolinae plants between 1996 and 2006. ‘*’ = the figures from 2004 highlight a necessary chemical response to large populations of red spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae*). These could not be controlled to a satisfactory level using biological or chemical control.

	Active ingredient	Trade name	LD ₅₀ mg/kg
	mevinfos	Phosdrin	4
	oxamyl	Vydate	6
	sulfotep	Bladafum	10
	abamectine	Vertimec	10
	methomyl	Lannate	17
	formetanaat	Dicarzol	21
	methamidofos	Tamaron	30
	omethoaat	Folimat	50
	permethrin	Ambush	50
	bifenthrin	Talstar	55
	mercaptodimethur	Mesurool	100
	furathiocarb	Deltanet	137
✓	pirimicarb	Pirimor	147
✓	imidacloprid	Confidor	450
	tebufenpirad	Masai	595
✓	tebufenpirad	Pyranica	595
	dicofol	Kelthane	690
	amitraz	Mitac	800
	amitraz	Byebye	800
	acefaat	Orthene	945
✓	bifenazate	Floramite	>2000
	pirimifos-methyl	Actellic	2018
✓	fenbutatin oxide	Torque	2630
	clofentezin	Apollo	>3200
✓	spinosad	Tracer	>3738
	pyriproxifen	Admiral	>5000
	broompropylaat	Neoron	>5000
✓	hexythiazox	Nissorun	>5000

Table 3. The array of pesticides used in the Phaseoleae collection between 1996 and 2006. Black boxes refer to the chemical having been recently withdrawn from sale, whereas ‘✓’ refers pesticides in use during 2005 and 2006. LD₅₀ refers to ‘Lethal Dose 50’ a lower number indicates higher toxicity.

	Year				
Biological control agent	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i>	9	19	5	20	
<i>Amblyseius swirskii</i>				4	13
<i>Phytoseiulus persimilis</i>				2	6

Table 4. The number of releases of beneficial insects against thrips and spider mites in the Phaseoleae collection between 2002 and 2006.

